HU ET AL. 09/747,004 Interview Summary Art Unit Examiner 1656 Jeffrey Siew All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (3) Phillip McGarrigle. (1) Jeffrey_Siew. (4)____. (2) Wei Zhou. Date of Interview: _____. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description: . Claim(s) discussed: 2:1-24 Identification of prior art discussed: _____. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: discussed possible claim language to overcome Urdea et al. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) i) It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked). Unless the paragraph above has been checked, THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Application No.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an

Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Applicant(s)